

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,237	11/04/2003	Douglas S. Martin	33583US2	5260
38598	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	KURTH L.L.P.		HUSON, M	ONICA A
1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1732	<del></del>

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before	the Filing	of an Appeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/701,237	MARTIN, DOUGLAS S.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Monica A. Huson	1732		

	Monica A. Huson	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	•				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to consider the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a file	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ille issues ioi				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	- <del></del>						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-16.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-11</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	Mechael	PATENT EXAMINE	- :R				
	SUPERVISORI	1/11 2011 1 20/0 20/01/10	<del></del>				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly-added limitations, specifically "two or more depending catches that interact with complimentary receiving areas on the end wall, the two or more depending catches being separate devices that are distantly positioned from the plug" require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are directed to the proposed claim amendments, which present new grounds for rejection.